

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,473	09/15/2003	Sang Seok Lee	8733.872.00-US	8733.872.00-US 9223	
30827 7.	590 05/11/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	LONG & ALDRIDGE	KOCH, GE	KOCH, GEORGE R		
1900 K STREE WASHINGTO	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			1734	1734	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the Fi	ling of	an A	ppeal	Brief	

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/661,473	LEE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
George R. Koch III	1734

	George R. Koch III	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i L below),			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• • •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•			
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 20 and 21. 		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13. Other:		2 Kal	- ill		
		GOOTO P Koch III			

George R. Koch III Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1734

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant advances a number of arguments. First, applicant argues that a coverplate load station is not a loader. This is unpersuasive. A load station is a loader. Furthermore, the load station of Gaynes is considered capable of loading two stations. Secondly, applicant appears to argue that a dispensing station cannot have sides. This argument is very unclear, but the x-y dispenser has sides and reads on the claim limitations.